SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 100 (—

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO.2439 /2006

PETITIONER : Santulal Ambust
Ageda bout 63 years
S/o. Basudev Prasad Ambust
Retired Sahayak Gram Pancyayat
Adhikari, Pakargaon, District
Jashpur (C.G.)

W.P. No. 2506/06
Presented by Shri Sanjay Agrawal
dated 8/5/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through-Secretary,
Department of Panchayat and
Samaj Kalyan,D.K.S. Bhawan,
Mantralaya ,Raipur (C.G.)

2. Deputy Director,
Panchayat avam Samaj Sewa
Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
8/5/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. Deputy Director,
Panchayat avam Samaj Sewa
Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

4. Accountant General of
Chhattisgarh,
Pandari , Near Bus Stand ,
Raipur(C.G.).

5. Joint Director
Treasury, Accounts & Pension
Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.2439/ सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का क्रमांक आदेश दिनांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10-5-2006 Mr. Sanjay Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1, 2, 3 & 5. Heard both the counsel. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been paid full amount of gratuity for which he was entitled. The petitioner is entitled for remaining gratuity of Rs.16,015/- and he is entitled for pension at the rate of Rs.3,900/- per month whereas, he is being paid only Rs.1,963/- per month and full G.P.F. amount has also not been paid. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner retired on 30-11-2003 after attaining the superannuation age and since then, the respondents are not paying any heed to the request of the petitioner. Therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondents No.3 & 4 raising all his grievances and respondents No.3 & 4 be directed to decide the representation within a period of three months, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents No.1 to 3 & 5 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondents No.3 & 4 within a period of two weeks from today and in turn, respondents No.3 & 4 are directed to decide the representation of the petitioner after examining the service record of the petitioner with a reasoned order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	